

Discovering Copper for Methane C–H Bond Functionalization

Riccardo Gava,[†] Andrea Olmos,[†] Bárbara Noverges,[‡] Teresa Varea,[‡] Eleuterio Álvarez,^{||} Tomás R. Belderrain,[†] Ana Caballero,^{*,†} Gregorio Asensio,^{*,‡} and Pedro J. Pérez^{*,†}

[†]Laboratorio de Catálisis Homogénea, Unidad Asociada al CSIC, CIQSO-Centro de Investigación en Química Sostenible and Departamento de Química y Ciencia de los Materiales, Universidad de Huelva, 21007 Huelva, Spain

[‡]Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Farmacia, Universidad de Valencia, Burjassot, 46100 Valencia, Spain ^{||}Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas Isla de la Cartuja, CSIC-Universidad de Sevilla, Avda. Américo Vespucio, 49, 41092 Sevilla, Spain

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The copper complex $Tp^{(CF3)2,Br}Cu(NCMe)$ (1, $Tp^{(CF3)2,Br}$ = hydrotris((3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-4-bromo)-pyrazol-1-yl)borate) catalyzes the insertion of the CHCO₂Et group (from ethyl diazoacetate N₂CHCO₂Et, EDA) into the C–H bonds of methane, in a homogeneous process that uses supercritical carbon dioxide (scCO₂) as the reaction medium. Other light alkanes such as ethane, propane, and butane have been also functionalized with this copper-based catalyst, in the first example of the derivatization of the series of C₁–C₄ alkanes with this metal and a soluble catalyst.



KEYWORDS: methane activation, copper catalysis, C-H activation, carbene insertion, supercritical carbon dioxide

N atural and shale gas currently constitutes the main source of methane, along with ethane and other light hydrocarbons.¹ The main uses of methane are restricted to fuel source and to the preparation of syngas,² a mixture of carbon monoxide and molecular hydrogen from the complete cleavage of the methane molecule. In addition, methane is difficult and expensive to transport, and therefore, its conversion into liquid derivatives that surpass such drawback is highly desirable.³ The development of practical catalytic systems that would employ methane as C1-source for synthetic purposes constitutes one of the challenges of modern chemistry.⁴

There are only a few catalytic systems that have been described for the chemical transformation of methane into functionalized products in the homogeneous phase (Scheme 1).⁵ The so-called electrophilic activation was initiated in the late 60s by Shilov using platinum salts as catalyst and oxidant to promote the oxidation of the C-H bonds of methane.⁶ From that basis, the group of Periana has provided the most active catalysts in this area, with different metal complexes or salts based on mercury,⁷ platinum,⁸ palladium⁹ or gold¹⁰ in superacidic media. Main group elements such as Tl or Pb have also been described to oxidize methane in a stoichiometric manner, in the absence of acid medium.¹¹ Also, the use of hypervalent iodine compounds as the oxidant has been described by several groups, leading to the conversion of methane into the corresponding trifluoroacetate¹² or methyl esters derivatives.¹³

Tilley and co-workers employed the classical organometallic activation of methane by a scandium-based system for the catalytic conversion of methane in two different ways: the Scheme 1. Catalytic Systems Described for the Homogeneous Functionalization of Methane

$$CH_{4} + H_{2}O \xrightarrow{K_{2}PtCl_{4}} ROH + 2HCl$$

$$PtCl_{6}^{2-}, H_{2}O, 120 °C$$

$$CH_{4} \xrightarrow{[M]} CH_{3}OSO_{3}H$$

$$[M] = Hg, Pt, Pd, Au$$

$$R-H + TI^{|H|}(TFA)_{3} \longrightarrow TI^{|}(TFA) + TFAH + R-TFA$$

$$R = Me, Et, Pr$$

$$R-H \xrightarrow{MCl/M'IO_{3}} R-TFA TFA = trifluoroacetate$$

$$R = Me, Et$$

$$R = Me, Et$$

$$Cp^{*}_{2}SCMe$$

$$10 mol\% Ph_{2}SiH_{2} + CH_{4} \xrightarrow{Cp^{*}_{2}SCMe}_{C_{6}H_{12}, 80 °C} Ph_{2}(Me)SiH + H-H$$

$$CH_{4} + \int_{C_{1}}^{CH_{2}} \frac{Cp^{*}_{2}SCMe}{C_{6}D_{12}, 80 °C} + H_{3}C + CH_{2}$$

 Received:
 April 6, 2015

 Revised:
 May 11, 2015

 Published:
 May 12, 2015

methane dehydrosylation¹⁴ and the hydromethylation of propene.¹⁵ In addition, the H/D exchange of methane with a deuterium source has also been described with several transition-metal complexes.¹⁶

The above examples of methane activation and functionalization display a common intermediate in which the C–H bond of methane interacts with the metal center, either by sigma-bond coordination or by sigma-bond metathesis. An alternative approach,¹⁷ in which such feature does not occur, has been described by our groups with silver catalysts which promote the formation of a transient, highly electrophilic silver-carbene intermediate from ethyl diazoacetate and the subsequent transfer of such carbene CHCO₂Et group to the C–H bond of methane (Scheme 2). It is worth mentioning that this

Scheme 2. Methane Functionalization by Carbene Insertion with Silver Catalysts



transformation takes place in supercritical carbon dioxide $(scCO_2)$ as the reaction medium to ensure that methane is the sole reactant (in terms of C–H bonds) in the reaction mixture. The use of the hydrocarbon as the reaction medium is precluded due to the insolubility of the catalyst as well as of the diazo compound.

Thus, the metal-based reported catalytic systems for methane functionalization (all of them yet far from practical applications) are based on Hg, Sc, Pd, Pt, Ag, or Au, most of them precious metals, some with high toxicities. Because of this, we have focused in the use of a less toxic and expensive metal such as copper. We have previously employed complexes of this element for the alkane C-H bond funcionalization by carbene insertion,¹⁸ but to date, we have not found a copper catalyst capable of inducing the aforementioned methane functionalization. In fact, the copper analogues to the series of silver-based catalysts shown in Scheme 2 did not induce such transformation. In this contribution, we report the synthesis of a new ligand of the trispyrazolylborate class that, when bonded to copper, promotes the catalytic transfer of the CHCO₂Et group (from ethyl diazoacetate, EDA) to methane in $scCO_2$ as the solvent, in the first example of the use of this metal for CH₄ activation under homogeneous conditions.

We have previously employed highly fluorinated Tp^{x} ligands with the aim of increasing the solubility of the corresponding silver complexes in scCO₂,¹⁷ given the well-known capabilities of fluorine-containing complexes to dissolve in such medium.¹⁹ Despite this, they were only soluble to a certain extent, the solubility being even lower for the copper complexes when compared to the silver ones, a feature that could explain the lack of catalytic activity toward methane. Thus, we decided to build a new trispyrazolylborate ligand containing trisubstituted pyrazolyl rings bearing two CF₃ and one bromine groups. Some of us, in an earlier contribution,²⁰ demonstrated that the $Tp^{Br3}Cu(NCMe)$ complex partially dissolved in a fluorous phase, and therefore, we expected that the combination of fluorine and bromine substituents in a ligand could improve the solubility of its complexes in $scCO_2$. With this idea in mind, the new thalium complex $TlTp^{(CF3)2,Br}$ (1) was synthesized upon direct reaction of the 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-4-bromo-pyrazole and TlBH4 (previously prepared from TlAcO and KBH₄).²¹ This method avoids the isolation of the potassium salt and the low-yielding transmetalation step with thalium nitrate, described for other TlTp^x ligands.²² This complex has been characterized on the basis of spectroscopic and analytical data, as well as from X-ray studies (Scheme 3; see Supporting Information for complete characterization data).

Scheme 3. Synthetic Route to Complex $TlTp^{(CF3)2,Br}(1)$ and Its Solid-State Structure



Once the new ligand was isolated, the copper and silver derivatives were prepared by transmetalation reactions with CuI or AgOTf in acetonitrile or tetrahydrofuran (thf), at room temperature, respectively (Scheme 4). In this manner, the complexes Tp^{(CF3)2,Br}Cu(NCMe) (2) and Tp^{(CF3)2,Br}Ag(thf) (3) were isolated and characterized. Scheme 4 shows the molecular structures for both complexes, where the ligand is bonded to the metal center in a tricoordinated fashion, with bond distances and angles similar to those found in other related complexes of these metals with trispyrazolylborate ligands.²³ It is worth noting that these metal centers are electrophilic in nature, as inferred from the ν (CO) values (in solution) of the corresponding carbonyl adducts Tp^{(CF3)2,Br}M-(CO) of 2125 cm⁻¹ ($\dot{M} = Cu$) and 2167 cm⁻¹ ($\dot{M} = Ag$). The latter value is nearly identical to those obtained for an array of five complexes of silver with fluorinated tris(indazolyl)borate ligands bearing fluorine atoms,¹⁷ or to that of the related $Tp^{(CF3)2}Ag(CO)$ (2162 cm⁻¹ in solution, 2178 cm⁻¹ in the solid state),²⁴ and constitutes another example of a nonclassical silver-carbonyl complex.²⁵

The next step consisted of the study of the catalytic potential of complexes 2 and 3 toward the reaction of methane with ethyl diazoacetate (EDA) in $scCO_2$. The reaction was performed with a 1:50 ratio of the catalyst precursor (0.005 mmol of 2 or 3) and EDA (0.25 mmol) in a mixture of carbon dioxide (90



atm) and methane (160 atm), at 40 °C. These values of pressure and temperature ensured reaching supercritical conditions. It is worth noting that, at variance with the partial solubility of the previously described fluorinated trisindazo-lylborate derivatives, complexes 2 and 3 completely dissolved in all cases, the combination of CF_3 and Br resulting effective to that purpose. After 8 h, the reaction mixture was analyzed with a GC directly connected to the pressure vessel, showing the formation of ethyl propionate (eq 1), the product resulting



from the insertion of the CHCO2Et moiety into the methane C-H bond. Albeit in low yield (4% with respect to initial EDA), the results observed with the copper-based catalyst represent the first example of the catalytic modification of methane with this metal under homogeneous conditions. Moreover, the remaining 96% of initial EDA was converted into a mixture of diethyl fumarate and maleate,²⁶ the products derived from the metal-catalyzed carbene coupling.²⁷ It is wellknown that the formation of the latter can be minimized by maintaining a low concentration in the reaction mixture, using slow addition devices. At the present stage, we have not been able to do so, due to the use of high pressure conditions. However, we envisage that with the appropriate engineering design, the enhancement of the yields into the methane derivative would be achievable. Increasing the relative amount of catalyst with respect to EDA is not a solution, because we demonstrated that such strategy favors the formation of the formal carbene-coupling products, diethyl fumarate and maleate.²⁸

The silver catalyst **3** was more efficient with methane in terms of yields, and it is similar to those reported with the aforementioned fluorinated trisindazolylborate silver catalysts.¹⁷ The difference between both metals stand on the relative barriers of C–H insertion and carbene coupling. Previous work provided a computational model,^{26b} showing that this reaction occurs through the intermediacy of a metallocarbene intermediate, which very recently has been detected with this family of catalysts.²⁹ The relative barriers of the steps involving the interaction of such intermediate with a second molecule of diazo reagent or with the alkane govern the reaction outcome. For copper-based catalysts, that difference is higher (Scheme S) than those calculated for the silver counterpart. This is in agreement with the observation of, under the same

Scheme 5. Comparison of the Relative Barriers of the Interaction of the Metallocarbene Intermediate with a Second Molecule of Diazo Compound (Left) or Ethane (Right)^{*a*}



^aData from ref 26b.

experimental conditions, larger amounts of the alkane-functionalized product with the silver catalyst.

Table 1 displays the catalytic results obtained for the series of ethane, propane, and *n*-butane. To the best of our knowledge, there is no previous report on the use of copper catalysts for the functionalization of these light alkanes by carbene insertion, the already described catalytic systems being limited to alkanes ranging from pentane to polyolefins.¹⁸

Complex 2 catalyzes the functionalization of those C_2-C_4 alkanes at a larger extent than with methane: 15% with ethane, 23% with propane, and 53% with butane (EDA-based yields). Complex 3 also catalyzes the functionalization of this group of three alkanes, at higher yields. The use of silver-based catalysts for this transformation with C_2-C_4 has been previously described by us,¹⁷ as well as by the groups of Caulton and Mindiola.³⁰ As expected, the silver catalyst is more active than the copper analogue, due to the already mentioned tendency of the latter to favor carbene coupling, a reaction that is disfavored with the former.

The existence of two different C–H bonds in the molecules of propane and butane originates the formation of a mixture of compounds derived from the metal-catalyzed insertion of the carbene moiety into both sites. Previous catalytic systems based on Tp^xM (M = Cu, Ag) cores have shown that the copper derivative provides none or very low functionalization of the primary sites, whereas the silver analogue does effectively promote it.^{17,31} Thus, the regioselectivity observed with the C₃–C₄ alkanes can be considered as unexpected, because we have found that under the reaction conditions, that is, the reaction of the alkane and EDA in scCO₂, both metal catalysts



		Catalyst 2		Catalyst 3	
Alkane	Products	Yield	Regio	Yield	Regio
		(%)°	(%)	(%)	(%)
Ethane	CO ₂ Et	15		99	
Propane	CO ₂ Et	23	44	75	44
	CO ₂ Et		56		56
Butane	CO ₂ Et	53	42	99	44
	CO ₂ Et		58		56

^{*a*}0.005 mmol of catalyst and 0.5 mmol of EDA; 20 g of CO₂ and 3–5 g of the alkane with a total pressure of 250 atm. Reaction time 8 h at 40 °C. ^{*b*}Data quantified by GC using calibration curves. See Supporting Information for complete description of the experiments. ^{*c*}Remaining of initial EDA was converted into a mixture of diethylfumarate and maleate.

behave in a very similar manner in terms of regioselectivity. We are currently studying this effect that could be of interest from the point of view of exerting a certain control of the nature of the metal in the regioselectivity of the reaction.

As a conclusion, the synthesis of the new ligand hydrotris-((3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-4-bromo)-pyrazol-1-yl)borate (Tp^{(CF₃)2,Br}) and its copper complex Tp^{(CF₃)2,Br}Cu(NCMe) have led to the first example of the use of a homogeneous catalyst based on this metal for the functionalization of methane, with the methodology of the metal-catalyzed carbene insertion into the C–H bonds of the alkane, and using ethyl diazoacetate as the carbene source and scCO₂ as the reaction medium. The same catalyst promoted the functionalization, for the first time, of the ethane, propane and butane with this methodology. When comparing the catalytic results with those of the silver analogue Tp^{(CF₃)2,Br}Ag(thf), an interesting feature has been observed: the distribution of products derived from the insertion into primary or secondary C–H bonds of propane and butane were similar to both metals, at variance with the lack of reactivity toward the primary sites with the copper-based catalysts reported to date.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.5b00718.

X-ray data (CIF) General methods, synthetic procedures, and catalytic experiments (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: perez@dqcm.uhu.es.

- *E-mail: ana.caballero@dqcm.uhu.es.
- *E-mail: gregorio.asensio@uv.es.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article is dedicated to Prof. Antonio Echavarren on occasion of his 60th birthday. Support for this work was provided by the MINECO (CTQ2014-52769-C3-1-R and CTQ2014-52769-C3-2-R), and the Junta de Andalucía (P10-FQM-06292, P12-FQM-01765). R.G. and A.O. thank MINE-CO for FPI and Juan de la Cierva fellowships, respectively.

REFERENCES

(1) Liquefied Natural Gas: Understanding the Basic Facts; U.S. Department of Energy: Washington, DC, 2005.

(2) Arpe, H.-J. Industrial Organic Chemistry, 5th ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2010.

(3) Olah, G. A.; Goeppert, A.; Prakash, G. K. S. *Beyond Oil and Gas: The Methanol Economy*, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2009.

(4) (a) Cavaliere, V. N.; Wicker, B. F.; Mindiola, D. J. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 2012, 60, 1–47. (b) Caballero, A.; Pérez, P. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 8809–8820.

(5) (a) Goldberg, K. I.; Goldman, A. S. Activation and Functionalization of C-H Bonds; ACS Symposium Series, Vol. 885; American Chemical Society: Washington DC, 2004. (b) Pérez, P. J. Alkane C-H Activation by Single-Site Metal Catalysis, Catalysis by Metal Complexes; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2012, Vol. 38. (c) Gunnoe, T. B. In Physical Inorganic Chemistry: Reactions, Processes, and Applications; Bakac, A., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2010; Vol. 2, pp 495-549.

(6) Shilov, A. E.; Shulpin, G. B. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2879-2932.

(7) Periana, R. A.; Taube, D. J.; Evitt, E. R.; Löffler, D. G.; Wentrcek, P. R.; Voss, G.; Masuda, T. *Science* **1993**, 259, 340–343.

(8) Periana, R. A.; Taube, D. J.; Gamble, S.; Taube, H.; Satoh, T.; Fujii, H. *Science* **1998**, 280, 560–564.

(9) Periana, R. A.; Mironov, O.; Taube, D.; Bhalla, G.; Jones, C. J. Science **2003**, 301, 814–818.

(10) Jones, C. J.; Taube, D.; Ziatdinov, V. R.; Periana, R. A.; Nielsen, R. J.; Oxgaard, J.; Goddard, W. A., III. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 4626–4629.

(11) Hashiguchi, B. G.; Konnick, M. M.; Bischof, S. M.; Gustafson, S. J.; Devarajan, D.; Gunsalus, N.; Ess, D. H.; Periana, R. A. *Science* **2014**, 343, 1232–1237.

(12) Konnick, M. M.; Hashiguchi, B. G.; Devarajan, D.; Boaz, N. C.; Gunnoe, T. B.; Groves, J. T.; Gunsalus, N.; Ess, D. H.; Periana, R. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 10490–10494.

(13) Fortman, G. C.; Boaz, N. C.; Munz, D.; Konnick, M. M.; Periana, R. A.; Groves, J. T.; Gunnoe, T. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 8393-8401.

(14) Sadow, A. D.; Tilley, T. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 803-805.

(15) Sadow, A. D.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 643-656.

(16) See for example: Jones, W. D.; Maguire, J. A. Organometallics 1986, 5, 590-591.

(17) (a) Caballero, A.; Despagnet-Ayoub, E.; Díaz-Requejo, M. M.; Díaz-Rodríguez, A.; González-Núñez, M. E.; Mello, R.; Muñoz, B. K.; Solo Ojo, W.; Asensio, G.; Etienne, M.; Pérez, P. J. Science 2011, 332, 835–838. (b) Fuentes, M. A.; Olmos, A.; Muñoz, B. K.; González-Núñez, M. E.; Mello, R.; Asensio, G.; Caballero, A.; Etienne, M.; Pérez, P. J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2014, 20, 11013–11018.

(18) (a) Díaz-Requejo, M. M.; Pérez, P. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 3379–3394. (b) Díaz-Requejo, M. M.; Belderraín, T. R.; Nicasio, M. C.; Pérez, P. J. *Dalton Trans.* **2006**, 5559–5566.

(19) (a) Gladysz, J. A.; Curran, D. P.; Horváth, I. T. Handbook of Fluorous Chemistry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004. (b) Horvath, I. T. Acc. Chem. Res. **1998**, 31, 641–650.

(20) Urbano, J.; Izarra, R.; Gómez-Ariza, J. L.; Trofimenko, S.; Díaz-Requejo, M. M.; Pérez, P. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 1000–1002.

(21) Maspero, A.; Giovenzana, G. B.; Monticelli, D.; Tagliapietra, S.; Palmisano, G.; Penoni, A. J. Fluorine Chem. **2012**, 139, 53–57.

(22) (a) Trofimenko, S. Scorpionates: The Coordination of Poly(pyrazolyl)borate Ligands; Imperial College Press: London, 1999.
(b) Pettinari, C. Scorpionates II: Chelating Borate Ligands; Imperial College Press: London, 2008.

(23) For example, see: (a) Muñoz-Molina, J. M.; Sameera, W. M. C.; Álvarez, E.; Maseras, F.; Belderrain, T. R.; Pérez, P. J. *Inorg. Chem.*2011, 50, 2458–2467. (b) Despagnet-Ayoub, E.; Jacob, K.; Vendier, L.; Etienne, M.; Álvarez, E.; Caballero, A.; Díaz-Requejo, M. M.; Pérez, P. J. *Organometallics* 2008, 27, 4779–4787.

(24) Dias, H. V. R.; Jin, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 11381– 11382.

(25) Since the ν (CO) frequency lies above that of free CO, the term non-classical metal-carbonyls is employed. See: Hurlburt, P. K.; Rack, J. J.; Luck, J. S.; Dec, S. F.; Webb, J. D.; Anderson, O. P.; Strauss, S. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 10003–10014.

(26) (a) Rivilla, I.; Sameera, W. A. C.; Álvarez, E.; Díaz-Requejo, M. M.; Maseras, F.; Pérez, P. J. Dalton Trans. 2013, 42, 4132-4138.
(b) Braga, A. A. C.; Caballero, A.; Urbano, J.; Díaz-Requejo, M. M.; Pérez, P. J.; Maseras, F. ChemCatChem 2011, 3, 1646-1652.

(27) The presence of a large concentration of the hydrocarbon precludes secondary reaction on the products, ethylpropionate or diethyl fumarate or maleate. A recent study from our laboratory has shown that when employed in large amounts, the esters react with EDA with this family of catalysts through O-ylide intermediates and loss of ethanol in the presence of adventitious water. See: Gava, R.; Fuentes, M. A.; Besora, M.; Belderrain, T. R.; Jacob, K.; Maseras, F.; Etienne, M.; Caballero, A.; Pérez, P. J. *ChemCatChem* **2014**, *6*, 2206–2210.

(28) Caballero, A.; Díaz-Requejo, M. M.; Trofimenko, S.; Belderraín, T. R.; Pérez, P. J. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, 2007, 2848–2852.

(29) Pereira, A.; Champouret, Y.; Martín, C.; Álvarez, E.; Etienne, M.; Belderraín, T. R.; Pérez, P. J. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2015**, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201500776.

(30) Flores, J. A.; Komine, N.; Pal, K.; Pinter, B.; Pink, M.; Chen, C.-H.; Caulton, K. G.; Mindiola, D. J. ACS Catal. **2012**, *2*, 2066–2078.

(31) (a) Dias, H. V. R.; Browning, R. G.; Richey, S. A.; Lovely, C. J. *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 1200–1202. (b) Dias, H. V. R.; Browning, R. G.; Richey, S. A.; Lovely, C. J. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 5784–5785.